|  | Table 5 (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{A}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ |
|  |  | 0.18 | 103 | 69 | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 2 | 0.26 | 13 | 84 | 103 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.16 | 91 | 22 | 109 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.22 | 55 | 43 | 84 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(5)$ | 2 | 0.26 | 35 | 121 | 124 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.19 | 91 | 117 | 35 |  |  |  |  |

The authors thank Mr D. H. C. Harris and the University Support Group, A.E.R.E., for assistance. We are grateful to the S.R.C. for making available neutron-beam and computational facilities, the Italian C.N.R. for the award of a NATO Fellowship to G.F. and to Bradford University for the award of a scholarship to J.Y.

## References

Abbona, F. \& Ferraris, G. (1970). Period. Miner. 39, 183. Atoji, M. \& Rundle, R. E. (1958). J. Chem. Phys. 29, 1306. Baur, W. H. (1962). Acta Cryst. 15, 815. Busing, W. R. \& Levy, H. A. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 142.

Calleri, M. \& Ferraris, G. (1967). Period. Miner. 36, 1. Chidambaram, R., Sequeira, A. \& Sikka, S. K. (1964). J. Chem. Phys. 41, 3616.

Curry, N. A., Denne, W. A. \& Jones, D. W. (1968). Bull. Soc. chim. Fr. p. 1748.
Curry, N. A. \& Jones, D. W. (1970). Unpublished measurements.
Cruickshank, D. W. J. (1961). J. Chem. Soc. p. 5486.
Denne, W. A. (1968). Atomic Energy Research Establishment Internal Report USS. P. 9.
Denne, W. A. \& Jones, D. W. (1969). Z. Kristallogr. 130, 314.

Ferraris, G. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 1544.
Ferraris, G. \& Chiari (1970). Acta Cryst. B26, 403, 1574.
Hamilton, W. C. \& Ibers, J. A. (1968). Hydrogen Bonding in Solids. New York: Benjamin.
Jones, D. W. \& Cruickshank, D. W. J. (1961). Z. Kristallogr. 16, 101.
Jones, D. W. \& Smith, J. A. S. (1960). Trans. Faraday Soc. 56, 638.
Jones, D. W. \& Smith, J. A. S. (1962). J. Chem. Soc. p. 1414.

Schomaker, V., Waser, J., Marsh, R. E. \& Bergman, G. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 600.

Acta Cryst. (1971). B27, 354

# A Neutron Diffraction Study of the Crystal Structure of Sodium Arsenate Heptahydrate, $\mathbf{N a}_{2} \mathrm{HAsO}_{\mathbf{4}} . \mathbf{7 H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}$ 

By G. Ferraris<br>Istituto di Mineralogia e Geochimica dell'Università, via S. Massimo 24, 10123 Torino, Italy<br>D.W. Jones and J. Yerkess<br>School of Chemistry, University of Bradford, Bradford 7, England

(Received 11 March 1970)


#### Abstract

The positions of all 15 independent hydrogen atoms in the crystal structure of disodium hydrogen orthoarsenate heptahydrate, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HAsO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, approximately located in a previous single-crystal X-ray analysis, have been confirmed by neutron diffraction. Anisotropic least-squares refinement of the hydrogen atoms led to a final $R$ value of 0.068 over 476 independent neutron intensities measured on a manually operated diffractometer. No correction was made for absorption but an empirical extinction correction was applied. The neutron analysis, in which hydrogen atom positions were located with coordinate estimated standard deviations of about $0.04 \AA$, confirms the hydrogen-bonding system deduced from the X-ray analysis. The anionic hydrogen atom, $\mathrm{H}(1)$, is carried by the oxygen atom $\mathrm{O}(4)$, with the longest As-O bond ( $1.74 \AA$ compared with an average length of $1.67 \AA$ ); while the markedly nonlinear hydrogen bond of $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ to the water oxygen atom O (6) is relatively short at $2 \cdot 68 \AA, \mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ is not quite the longest $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distance in the structure. Each of the seven water molecules is closely coplanar with the two oxygen atoms to which it is hydrogen-bonded, although nearly all the hydrogen bonds are significantly bent. In terms of the classification by lone pair orientation, five of the water molecules are of type $A$ and two of type $G$. Water H-O-H angles range from 104 to $110^{\circ}$, with an e.s.d. of about $3^{\circ}$.


## Introduction

The crystal structure of disodium hydrogen orthoarsenate heptahydrate, or sodium arsenate heptahydrate, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HAsO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, has been determined by single-crys-
tal X-ray analysis by Ferraris \& Chiari (1970a) and, together with its phosphorus isomorph, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ independently by Baur \& Khan (1970). Each of the two crystallographically independent sodium ions (in layers perpendicular to [010]) is approximately octahe-
drally coordinated to six water oxygen atoms; the formulation $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{2}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{7}\right]\left[\mathrm{AsO}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right]$ has been suggested (Baur \& Khan, 1970). Hydrogen bonds link those octahedra in chains along [101] with edges and faces of the octahedra being shared alternately. The anions, contained between the sodium-water (101) layers, maintain some degree of isolation.
In an accurate X-ray analysis involving 2384 independent diffractometer reflexions, a three-dimensional Fourier difference synthesis, coupled with bond and angle calculations to eliminate spurious peaks, enabled the approximate sites of all the 15 hydrogen atoms in the anion and in the seven crystallographically independent water molecules to be found (Ferraris \& Chiari, 1970a). Nearly all the hydrogen bonds appear to be bent. A neutron-diffraction investigation has now been made to enable these atoms to be located more precisely, so that the configuration of the anion and the conformation of the water molecules and associated hydrogen bonds can be established. It forms part of a series of studies on acidic (and chiefly hydrated) arsenates (Ferraris, 1969; Ferraris \& Chiari, 1970a, b; Ferraris, Jones \& Yerkess, 1971) and phosphates (Jones \& Cruickshank, 1961; Curry, Denne \& Jones, 1968; Curry \& Jones, 1970).

## Experimental

## Crystallographic data

These were taken to be as reported in the X-ray crystal structure analysis by Ferraris \& Chiari (1970a): monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / n\left(C_{h}^{5}\right), a=10 \cdot 659, b=$ $11.011, c=9.394 \AA, \beta=95^{\circ} 26^{\prime}, Z=4$. There is satisfactory agreement with the unit-cell data reported by Baur \& Khan (1970), in which the $a$ and $c$ axes have been interchanged.

## Neutron diffraction measurements

Crystals well developed on $\{\overline{\mathrm{I}} 01\}$ were grown in a few hours by seeding just above room temperature an aqueous solution of commercial $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HAsO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ saturated at $70-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. From these, a crystal of dimensions $8 \times 5 \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ and weight 0.29 g , with $b$-axis elongation indicated by X-ray photographs, was selected and set up about the $\varphi$-rotation axis of a manually operated 'Badger' diffractometer at the DIDO reactor, Atomic Energy Research Establishment, Harwell. Possible loss of water during irradiation was minimized by enclosing the crystal in an approximately conical eggshell sodaglass cover.
The procedure for neutron data collection and data reduction generally followed that described by Ferraris, Jones \& Yerkess (1971) for pharmacolite, $\mathrm{CaHAsO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, except where otherwise specified. Counting times were $7-8$ seconds per $0.1^{\circ}$ step in $2 \theta$ for $\theta-2 \theta$ scanning with a fission-chamber monitor count of $2 \times 10^{4}$. Individual background counts, typically $400-600$ counts per step, were obtained for each reflexion and found to be about ten times higher than for
pharmacolite. Despite some slight variation in background with $\chi$, attributed to variation in the extent to which the tapered glass crystal cover was exposed to the rectangular neutron beam, intensities appeared to be unaffected. From the 2300 hkl and $\overline{h k l}$ reflexions with $2 \theta<80^{\circ}$ accessible with neutron wavelength $\lambda=$ $1.04 \AA$, measurements were sought from the 1015 ( $44 \%$ ) which calculations from the X-ray coordinates for all atoms indicated should have intensity exceeding $4 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. Intensities of 489 reflexions were actually recorded, ranging from 350 counts (integrated) for the reflexions 681 and 044 , to 63,000 for 323 ; of these, 13 were rejected because of very low intensity to leave 476 ( $21 \%$ of those accessible) which were used in the final refinement. The lower limits of measurement depend on the background, which is chiefly due to hydrogen incoherent scattering. Hydrogen constitutes about $42 \%$ of the neutron scattering power of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HAsO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, estimated as $\sum_{\text {Hatoms all atoms }}|b||~| h \mid$. The unit-cell hydrogen content is nearly three times, the weight of the crystal ten times, and the background count (including the effect of the glass cover) about 15 times greater in $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HAsO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ than the corresponding values in pharmacolite (Ferraris, Jones \& Yerkess, 1970), for which the monitor count was $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times that used here. With $\mu=0.16 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ absorption was neglected; extinction is discussed later.

## Structure refinement

The following neutron scattering lengths were used: As, $0.63 ; \mathrm{Na}, 0.351 ; \mathrm{O}, 0.577 ; \mathrm{H},-0.378 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~cm}$. With atomic positional parameters as derived from the X-ray analysis (Ferraris \& Chiari, 1970a) and with the isotropic temperature factor $B$ set at $3.0 \AA$ for the hydrogen atoms, the $R$ value was $0 \cdot 19$. This fell to 0.097 after three cycles of isotropic least-squares refinement of the hydrogen atoms by the ORFLS program of the $X$-ray 63 System on the ATLAS computer, in which structure factor differences (rather than their squares) were used, with weighting $1 / \sigma ; \sigma$ was derived from the data reduction program written by W. A. Denne. Two cycles of anisotropic least-squares refinement, again with the heavy-atom parameters fixed at the values derived from the X-ray analysis, left some hydrogen principal temperature coefficients apparently negative, but all were positive definite after a further cycle in which only the anisotropic temperature factors were varied.

At this stage of the refinement, with $R=0.093$, the effect of extinction was tested by plotting $F_{o} / F_{c}$ against measured intensity. This led to an extinction correction, ranging from $F_{\text {corr }}=F_{\text {obs }} / 0.97$ for $I_{\text {meas }}=10,000$ to $F_{\text {corr }}=F_{\text {obs }} / 0.89$ for $I_{\text {meas }}=63,000$, which caused $R$ to drop slightly to 0.090 . A further improvement to 0.083 resulted from checking the experimental measurements for unusually poor agreements and changing the background for some of these reflexions. Two further aniso-
tropic least-squares cycles gave convergence, with $R=$ 0.068 and all shifts less than standard deviations. Table 1 gives the final values of $F_{o}$ and $F_{c}$. For the hydrogen atoms, Table 2 lists the final fractional atomic coordinates (estimated standard deviations in parentheses), together with coefficients, $B_{i j}$, in the expression
$\exp \left[-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} B_{i j} h_{i} h_{j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}\right]$. Parameters for the $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{As}$, and O atoms are not reported, since the values derived by Ferraris \& Chiari (1970a) from X-ray data have been retained. The designations of the atoms are also those adopted by Ferraris \& Chiari (1970a).

Table 1. Final list of observed and calculated structure factors
The significant figures of the estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses


Table 2. Fractional atomic coordinates and vibrational parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ )
The significant figures of the estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses.

|  | $x / a_{0}$ | $y / b_{0}$ | $z / c_{0}$ | $B_{11}$ | $B_{22}$ | $B_{33}$ | $B_{12}$ | $B_{13}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(1) | 0.3745 (34) | 0.4371 (28) | -0.0375 (31) | $6 \cdot 9(2 \cdot 1)$ | $3 \cdot 0(1 \cdot 4)$ | b $1.8(1 \cdot 3)$ | ${ }_{1 \cdot 3(1.3)}^{B_{12}}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }} \begin{gathered}B_{13} \\ -1.8(1.5)\end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{B_{23}}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(2)$ $\mathrm{H}(3)$ | $0.3546(40)$ $0.2828(33)$ | $0.1082(36)$ 0.0063 (35) | $0.3553(32)$ $0.4099(4)$ | $2 \cdot 1(1.7)$ $1.5(1.5)$ | $3.5(2.0)$ | $3 \cdot 3$ (1.3) | -1.5(1.3) | -1.8(1.7 | $-1.1(1.2)$ $-0.2(1.3)$ |
| H(4) | $0 \cdot 1798$ (26) | 0.0063 $0.2565(57)$ | $0.4099(40)$ 0.2916 (35) | $1.5(1.5)$ $2.9(1.2)$ | $5 \cdot 0(2 \cdot 3)$ $3 \cdot 1(1 \cdot 3)$ | $5 \cdot 1(1.8)$ 7.9 | $1.2(1.4)$ -0.7 | $-0.5(1.3)$ | -1.9 (1-7) |
| H(5) | 0.0927 (21) | 0.2501 (50) | 0.4079 (21) | $2.9(1.2)$ $4.9(1.2)$ | $3 \cdot 1(1 \cdot 3)$ $3 \cdot 2(1.0)$ | $7.9(2.1)$ $1.6(0.9)$ | $-0.7(2.2)$ $-1.0(2.1)$ | $1.2(1.2)$ $0.7(0.8)$ $4.8(2.2)$ | -1.5 (2.9) |
| $\mathrm{H}(6)$ | $0 \cdot 2152$ (53) | 0.0294 (37) | 0.0650 (54) | $6 \cdot 6$ (2.6) | 3.2 (1.0) 2.0 (1.5) | 1.6 7.0 (2.7) | $-1.0(2.1)$ $-2.3(1.6)$ | $1.7(0 \cdot 8)$ $4.8(2.2)$ | $-0.5(1.9)$ $-1.4(1.9)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(7)$ $\mathrm{H}(8)$ | $0.1717(37)$ $0.5036(19)$ | -0.0936 (44) | 0.1333 (34) | 3.4 (2.0) | 3.6 (2.0) | 2.9 (1.6) | 0.7 (1.5) | 0.4 (1.3) | 0.3 (1.4) |
| H(9) | 0.6389 (27) | 0.2744 (25) | $0.3956(19)$ 0.4625 (29) | $4.2(1.3)$ 4.4 (1.4) | 3.6 $1.7(1.3)$ | $1.7(0 \cdot 8)$ | -1.9 (2.1) | $-1.2(0.8)$ | -0.4 (1.9) |
| $\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 0.5883 (35) | 0.0828 (39) | $0 \cdot 1467$ (38) | 1.2 (1.4) | $1.7(1.6)$ $4.5(1.6)$ | $4.6(1.5)$ 4.1 (1.5) | -0.4 (1.5) | $1.2(1.2)$ $0.7(1.2)$ | $-1.6(1.2)$ $2.0(1.6)$ |
| H(11) | 0.6255 (30) | -0.0502 (27) | $0 \cdot 1075$ (28) | 4.0 (1.7) | 2.5 (1.4) | $2 \cdot 2$ (1.2) | -0.6(1.2) | $1.7(1.2)$ $1.5(1.2)$ | $2.0(1.6)$ $-1.1(1 \cdot 1)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(12)$ $\mathrm{H}(13)$ | $0.7179(26)$ 0.6094 0.819 | 0.4875 (33) | $0 \cdot 2350$ (29) | $4 \cdot 8$ (2.1) | 2.8 (1.5) | $1 \cdot 0$ (1-3) | -1.7 (1.8) | -1.0 (1.3) | 0.7 (1.1) |
| H(14) | 0.8142 (24) | $0.4013(33)$ $0.2695(47)$ | $0 \cdot 1689(38)$ $0 \cdot 2900$ (26) | $4.0(2.2)$ $3.7(1.2)$ | $1.3(1.3)$ $5.2(2.3)$ | 3.3 (1.4) | 1.0 (1.4) | -0.0 (1.3) | $0 \cdot 2$ (1-3) |
| H(15) | 0.7338 (22) | $0 \cdot 2430$ (50) | 0.1519 (26) | $3 \cdot 7(1 \cdot 4)$ | 5.2(2.3) | $2.9(1.0)$ $3.8(1.2)$ | 1.0 $-0.4(1.5)$ $1.7(2.4)$ | $-0.4(0.9)$ $-0.6(1.0)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2(1.6) \\ -0.2(2.4) \end{array}$ |

Table 3．Interatomic distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for water molecules and atoms in contact with them，least－squares planes， and distances between atoms and planes
Least－squares planes are for the atoms in columns $A, B, C, D, E[$ first row（plane $\alpha$ ）］or in columns $A, B, C$［second row（plane $\beta$ ）］．$R$ indicates the line of intersection between the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ planes．The e．s．d．significant figures are given below the value of the bond length or angle．Thus $A \alpha$ is the displacement of atom $A$ from plane $\alpha$ ； $A B(\alpha)$ is the bond length for $A, B$ atoms within the $\alpha$ plane；$A B(\beta)$ is the bond length for the（different）$A B$ atoms within the $\beta$ plane；$\angle \alpha \beta$ is the angle between planes $B C(\beta)$
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## Description and discussion of the hydrogen bonding system in the structure

The hydrogen bonding description given as a result of the X-ray analysis of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HAsO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Ferraris \& Chiari, 1970a), has been confirmed completely by the present neutron-diffraction analysis. While the differences in the bond lengths and angles involving hydrogen atoms derived from the two analyses are not formally significant, they are clearly different in the two cases; it is noticeable that, for all except two of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distances, those from the X-ray analysis are shorter than the corresponding values from the neutron data (Table 4). This confirms the hypothesis (see e.g. Coppens \& Coulson, 1967) that, at least for light atoms, the centres of gravity of the electron cloud and the nucleus are different. It is also remarkable that the coordinates obtained from the X-ray difference synthesis are almost always nearer those from the neutron data (Table 2) than are the coordinates from the X-ray data least-squares refinement.* The X-ray results do not justify any useful comparison between the two sets of temperature factors.

In sodium arsenate, as in pharmacolite (Ferraris, Jones \& Yerkess, 1970), the acidic hydrogen atom, $\mathrm{H}(1)$, is linked to the oxygen atom, $\mathrm{O}(4)$, involved in the longest As-O bond. In turn this hydrogen atom is engaged in a markedly non-linear hydrogen bridge which is the shortest among those in sodium arsenate; in contrast with the diagrams correlating $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ lengths (see e.g. Hamilton \& Ibers, 1968), the $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ bond is not the longest among the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds in sodium arsenate. However, since all the $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ contacts involving the water molecules are about $2.8 \AA$ long and, further, the gradient of the curve is very shallow, the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ length is almost insensitive to

* For pharmacolite also the hydrogen atoms of the water molecules have been 'seen' in an X-ray difference Fourier synthesis; the computed O-H distances were very near those from the neutron data instead of being too short, as are the distances usually obtained from an X-ray least-squares refinement.

O $\cdots$ O distance variations in this region. Examination of neutron-diffraction studies of hydrogen bridges of water molecules in the literature indicates that only exceptionally is the $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance shorter than $2 \cdot 70 \AA$.

## Conformation of the water molecules

In the $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HAsO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ structure, all the seven groups consisting of the three atoms of a water molecule together with the two acceptor oxygen atoms are planar; their best mean-planes (Schomaker, Waser, Marsh \& Bergman, 1959), in fractional coordinates and referred to the unit-cell axes, are reported in Table 3 and designated $\alpha$. The only possible exception is the $W(5)$ group, where the $\mathrm{H}(11)$-plane distance is greater than $2 \sigma$.
While the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ angles range from 104 to $110^{\circ}$, with a mean slightly below $108^{\circ}$, the values for the corresponding $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ angles extend from $102 \cdot 4$ to $143.3^{\circ}$ Almost all the hydrogen bridges are appreciably non-linear; evidently, when some distortion of the hydrogen bonding geometry is needed to facilitate packing, this is mainly reflected in a lack of linearity of the hydrogen bridge As in pharmacolite (Ferraris, Jones \& Yerkess, 1970), however, the distortion does not affect the planarity of the donor-hydrogen-atomsacceptors group; the vertex of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ angles is always towards the bisector of the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ angle, except for $\mathrm{H}_{2} W(7)$ (see the values in Table 3)
According to the classification of hydrogen-bonded water molecules with respect to their lone-pair electrons (Chidambaram, Sequeira \& Sikka, 1964), five of the water molecules in the $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HAsO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ structure are of type $A$ and two of type $G$. Each of the lone-pair orbitals in a water oxygen atom is involved in a specific contact with a sodium atom; one sodium atom is substituted by one hydrogen atom in the contacts involving $\mathrm{H}_{2} W(5)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} W(6)$ molecules. The water molecules are, of course, only roughly tetrahedral In fact, the angles between the two sodium (or hydrogen) contact atoms and the oxygen of the water molecules range from 82 to $106^{\circ}$; the plane $\beta$ containing these three atoms forms an angle with the corresponding plane $\alpha$

Table 4. O-H bond lengths: uncorrected $(A)$ and corrected for thermal motion $(B, C, D)$; lower ( $B$ ) and upper (C) limits and 'riding' model $(D)$

|  | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | $0.972 \AA$ | $0.976 \AA$ | $1.138 \AA$ | $0.997 \AA$ |
| $W(1)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 0.943 | 0.943 | 1.075 | 0.940 |
| $W(1)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | 0.933 | 0.936 | 1.123 | 0.957 |
| $W(2)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 0.922 | 0.928 | 1.149 | 0.958 |
| $W(2)-\mathrm{H}(5)$ | 0.986 | 0.988 | 1.158 | 1.003 |
| $W(3)-\mathrm{H}(6)$ | 0.942 | 0.954 | 1.186 | 0.997 |
| $W(3)-\mathrm{H}(7)$ | 0.997 | 0.998 | 1.153 | 1.008 |
| $W(4)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 0.934 | 0.937 | 1.113 | 0.959 |
| $W(4)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 0.953 | 0.954 | 1.105 | 0.965 |
| $W(5)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 0.925 | 0.925 | 1.085 | 0.932 |
| $W(5)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 0.986 | 0.987 | 1.137 | 0.999 |
| $W(6)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 0.937 | 0.937 | 1.067 | 0.941 |
| $W(6)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | 0.985 | 0.986 | 1.125 | 0.999 |
| $W(7)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 0.940 | 0.945 | 1.108 | 0.969 |
| $W(7)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ | 0.941 | 0.946 | 1.117 | 0.970 |

(Table 3) ranging from 73 to $88^{\circ}$ Finally, the line of intersection between the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ planes does not coincide with the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bisector (see angles in Table 3).
The structure of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HAsO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is generally in accord with the electrostatic balance scheme proposed by Baur \& Khan (1970). The balance would be even better with the hypothesis that $O(1), O(2)$ and $O(3)$ receive a charge 1.33 (instead of 1.25 ) and $\mathrm{O}(4)$ a charge 1.00 (instead of 1.25 ) from the arsenic atom, an assumption corresponding to a roughly inverse dependence of the distribution of electrostatic charges on the atomic distance (Baur, 1962).

## Thermal motion and accuracy of the structure

The O-H bond lengths have been corrected for thermal motion according to Busing \& Levy (1964). Table 4 presents the lower and upper limits for the corrected length, together with the uncorrected length, and the length on the assumption of the 'riding' model for the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond. The difference between the last value and the uncorrected one is always smaller than the $\sigma$ value for the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ lengths.

With regard to the relatively high apparent coordinate e.s.d.'s of $0.03-0.04 \AA$ for the hydrogen atoms, similar considerations to those which were discussed for pharmacolite (Ferraris, Jones \& Yerkess, 1970) apply to $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HAsO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Table 5 gives details of the thermal vibration ellipsoids.

Table 5. Parameters characterizing the principal directions 1,2,3 of thermal vibration for the hydrogen atoms: root-mean-square displacement ( $\AA$ ) and the angles the principal directions make with the $a(B), b(C)$ and $c(D)$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $A$ | B | C | D |
|  | 1 | $0.18 \AA$ | $113^{\circ}$ | $28^{\circ}$ | $103^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 2 | 0.33 | 26 | 73 | 114 |
|  | 3 | $0 \cdot 11$ | 78 | 69 | 27 |
|  | 1 | $0 \cdot 20$ | 87 | 49 | 42 |
| H(2) | 2 | $0 \cdot 25$ | 123 | 50 | 119 |
|  | 3 | $0 \cdot 08$ | 33 | 68 | 118 |
|  | I | $0 \cdot 20$ | 82 | 48 | 44 |
| H(3) | 2 | $0 \cdot 31$ | 106 | 133 | 46 |
|  | 3 | $0 \cdot 12$ | 18 | 107 | 90 |
|  | 1 | $0 \cdot 20$ | 43 | 130 | 109 |
| H(4) | 2 | $0 \cdot 33$ | 85 | 106 | 20 |
|  | 3 | $0 \cdot 17$ | 47 | 44 | 85 |
|  |  | $0 \cdot 20$ | 63 | 28 | 102 |
| H(5) | 2 | $0 \cdot 26$ | 27 | 115 | 85 |
|  | 3 | $0 \cdot 13$ | 95 | 77 | 13 |
|  | I | 0.21 | 127 | 77 | 35 |
| H(6) | 2 | $0 \cdot 40$ | 43 | 104 | 55 |
|  | 3 | $0 \cdot 13$ | 71 | 19 | 93 |
|  | 1 | $0 \cdot 19$ | 44 | 114 | 129 |
| H(7) | 2 | $0 \cdot 23$ | 52 | 41 | 79 |
|  | 3 | $0 \cdot 19$ | 71 | 121 | 41 |

Table 5 (cont.)

|  |  | A | B | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | $0 \cdot 20$ | 69 | 43 | 128 |
| H(8) | 2 | $0 \cdot 28$ | 36 | 124 | 106 |
|  | 3 | $0 \cdot 09$ | 62 | 67 | 43 |
|  | 1 | 0.22 | 27 | 79 | 120 |
| H(9) | 2 | $0 \cdot 27$ | 63 | 111 | 40 |
|  | 3 | $0 \cdot 111$ | 91 | 24 | 66 |
|  | 1 | 0.18 | 64 | 125 | 50 |
| H(10) | 2 | 0.28 | 94 | 42 | 49 |
|  | 3 | $0 \cdot 09$ | 27 | 70 | 112 |
|  | 1 | 0.14 | 113 | 82 | 19 |
| H(11) | 2 | 0.28 | 43 | 124 | 71 |
|  | 3 | $0 \cdot 10$ | 56 | 35 | 86 |
|  | 1 | $0 \cdot 15$ | 60 | 31 | 88 |
| $\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 2 | $0 \cdot 28$ | 32 | 118 | 109 |
|  | 3 | $0 \cdot 09$ | 80 | 101 | 19 |
|  | 1 | $0 \cdot 20$ | 81 | 80 | 18 |
| H(13) | 2 | 0.24 | 20 | 74 | 107 |
|  | 3 | $0 \cdot 11$ | 108 | 19 | 95 |
|  | 1 | $0 \cdot 22$ | 33 | 70 | 121 |
| H(14) | 2 | $0 \cdot 26$ | 108 | 20 | 80 |
|  | 3 | $0 \cdot 18$ | 63 | 89 | 33 |
|  | , | $0 \cdot 22$ | 97 | 61 | 29 |
| H(15) | 2 | $0 \cdot 28$ | 50 | 46 | 111 |
|  | 3 | $0 \cdot 17$ | 41 | 122 | 71 |
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